



Original research article

Pulpal and periapical diseases experience in a central Brazilian population

Lorena Frange Caldas¹
Giulliano Caixeta Serpa²
Lucas Rodrigues de Araújo Estrela²
Daniel de Almeida Decurcio³
Helder Fernandes de Oliveira²
Cyntia Rodrigues de Araújo Estrela¹
Orlando Aguirre Guedes²

- ¹ Universidade de Cuiabá, School of Dentistry, Department of Oral Sciences Cuiabá MT Brazil.
- ² Universidade de Anápolis, School of Dentistry, Department of Endodontics Anápolis GO Brazil.
- ³ Universidade Federal de Goiás, School of Dentistry, Department of Stomatologic Sciences Goiânia GO Brazil.

Corresponding author:

Orlando Aguirre Guedes Centro Universitário de Anápolis, Faculdade de Odontologia Av. Universitário, Km 3,5 – Cidade Universitária CEP 75083-515 – Anápolis – GO – Brasil E-mail: orlandoaguedes@gmail.com

Received for publication: September 12, 2020. Accepted for publication: November 27, 2020.

Keywords:

oral health; epidemiology, endodontics.

Abstract

Introduction: Diseases of the pulp and periapical tissues comprise the major reasons for seeking emergency dental care services. **Objective:** This retrospective cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the prevalence of pulpal and periapical diseases in a central Brazilian population. Material and methods: The sample was comprised of patients treated at the Basic Attention Integrated Clinic of the Dental School of the Universidade de Cuiabá, between 2011 and 2015. The following information was collected from the patients' records: sex, age, tooth, anatomical location, and the pulpal or periapical diagnosis. The statistical analysis included frequency distribution and cross-tabulation. The data were analyzed by using χ^2 tests. The level of significance was set at 5% for all analyses. **Results:** The analysis involved 1,277 patients (822 female; 64.4%), aged between 6 and 78 years old. The highest frequency of pulpal and periapical diseases was observed in patients aged 21 to 30 years old (n = 389; 30.5%). A total of 1,488 pulpal and periapical diseases was recorded. Symptomatic pulpitis (n = 467; 31.4%) and infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis (n = 456; 30.6%) were the most common type of diseases. Seven hundred and sixty-seven affected teeth (51.5%) were maxillary teeth, and 1,247 (83.8%) were located in the jaws' posterior region. The most affected teeth were the mandibular molars (n = 516; 34.7%) and maxillary molars (n = 355; 23.9%). Statistically significant difference was observed between the type of pulpal and periapical disease and sex, age, anatomical location (anterior or posterior), and tooth (p < 0.05). **Conclusion:** There was a higher prevalence of pulpal and periapical diseases in female individuals aged between 21 and 30 years old and involving teeth located in the posterior region of the jaw.

Introduction

Orofacial pain is a significant public health problem, defined as a painful condition associated with the hard and soft tissues of the head, face, neck and oral structures [3, 7, 14, 15, 20, 23]. Dental pain is the most frequent type of orofacial pain and causes the most damage at individual and collective level [8, 15, 20]. It has been demonstrated that pain of odontogenic origin is a strong predictor for the use of health dental services [3, 7, 14] and the perception for the need for dental treatment [19].

Diseases of the pulp and periapical tissues comprise the major reasons for seeking emergency dental care services [18, 24, 26], and, despite being a source of physical and emotional stress and representing a substantial economic burden to the community [4, 23, 26, 33], there is a limited amount of information on their frequency and distribution [3, 4, 10].

In Brazil, only a few studies have been focused on the epidemiological profile of pulp and periapical diseases [3, 9, 10, 11, 27]. Facchin and Rodrigues [11] developed an etiologic survey of pulpal and periapical diseases and observed that approximately 50% of the diagnoses were of pulp necrosis, followed by 28% of acute pulpitis. De Quadros et al. [9] evaluated the clinical outcomes of root canal treatments and found that 29.4% of the teeth presented with the diagnosis of necrosis, and 32.3% exhibited irreversible pulpitis. Pereira and Carvalho [27] conducted an epidemiological evaluation of endodontic treatment outcomes and noticed that a significant amount of root canal treatment was undertaken in teeth presenting with necrosis and periapical lesions (28.9% for females and 36.5% for males). Albuquerque et al. [2] evaluated the endodontic diagnosis at an endodontic specialist clinic and found prevalence of 69.3% of pulp necrosis and 30.4% of apical periodontitis. Estrela et al. [10] determined the frequency and clinical factors associated with pulpal and periapical pain. The authors observed higher prevalence of symptomatic pulpitis (28.3%) and infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis (26.4%). The discrepancy in the study results may reflect methodological differences in selection and sample size [3, 7, 23] and the diagnostic criteria [1, 10], and rather than providing a solid base of knowledge, it creates difficulties for the development and implementation of integrated and effective dental care models by public administrators [14].

Public health administrators need to establish priority actions with a view to prevention and attention to individuals [26]. The planning of activities should consider the regional knowledge of the social and behavioral components of the diseases [7, 23, 35]. Based on evidence from the small number of studies in Brazilian populations [3, 9, 10, 11, 27], and considering the specificities and demographic, cultural and socioeconomic differences of each population, this study assessed the prevalence of pathologies of endodontic origin and associated factors in a central Brazilian population.

Material and methods

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the local Research Ethics Committee (protocol number 37418314.9.0000.5165).

This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted by reviewing the dental records of all consecutive patients that had presented for treatment at the Basic Attention Integrated Clinic (BAIC) of the Dental School of the Universidade de Cuiabá (UNIC), Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brazil (latitude 15°35'46" South, longitude 56°05'48" West), between March 2011 and December 2015. Inclusion criterion for this study was dental records of patients affected by diseases involving the pulpal and periapical tissues and treated at that clinic. Cases affecting primary teeth and dental records featuring blank fields and/or unspecified data were excluded from the study.

The following information was collected from each patients' dental records: sex, age, tooth, anatomical location (maxilla or mandible and anterior or posterior region), and the pulpal or periapical diagnosis. The type of pulpal or periapical pathologies were classified according to the system described by Estrela *et al.* [10] (Table I). Prior to data collection, a pilot study involving 10% of the final sample was performed to test the feasibility study, and to train and calibrate the examiners regarding the criteria used.

Data were analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows 21.0 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, United States), including frequency distribution and cross-tabulation. Chi-square tests were used to compare qualitative data, and the level of statistical significance was set at 5%.

Results

The analysis involved 1,277 patients [822 female patients (64.4%) and 455 male patients (35.6%); female-to-male ratio = 1.8:1], aged between 6 and 78 years old (mean = 31.9 years old, standard deviation = 13.5). The highest frequency of pulpal and periapical diseases was observed in the group of patients aged 21–30 years old (n = 389; 30.5%), followed by the participants from 31–40 years old (n = 285; 22.3%), 11-20 years old (n = 260; 20.4%),

41-50 years old (n = 201; 15.7%), 51-60 years old (n = 83; 6.5%), \geq 61 years old (n = 40; 3.1%), and 6-10 years old (n = 19; 1.5%).

A total of 1,488 pulpal and periapical diseases was recorded. Pulpal diseases were the most common, with 748 cases (50.3%), compared to 740 cases (49.7%) of periapical diseases. The most common types of pulpal diseases were symptomatic pulpitis (SP) (n = 467; 31.4%) and hyperreactive pulpalgia (HP) (n = 234; 15.7%), while infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis (ISAP) (n = 456; 30.6%) and periapical abscess without fistula (stage in evolution) (PA-SE) (n = 75; 5%) were the most common type of periapical diseases (Table II). From the 1,488 involved teeth, 767 (51.5%) were maxillary teeth, and 721 (48.5%) were mandibular teeth (Table III). Two hundred and forty-one affected teeth (16.2%) were anterior, and 1,247 (83.8%) were posterior teeth (Table III). The most affected teeth were the mandibular molars (n = 516; 34.7%), followed by the maxillary molars (n = 355; 23.9%) and maxillary premolars (n = 224; 15.1%) (Table III). The number of involved teeth per patient was 1.16. A total of 1,113 participants (87.2%) had one affected tooth, and 164 (12.8%) had two or more affected teeth.

A statistically significant difference between the type of pulpal or periapical disease and sex, age, anatomical location (anterior or posterior), and tooth was observed (p < 0.05) (Tables II and III).

Table I - Classification of pulpal and periapical diseases according to Estrela et al. [10]

	Hyperreactive pulpalgia (HP)
Dulpal digagge	Symptomatic pulpitis (SP)
Pulpal diseases	Asymptomatic pulpitis (AS)
	Pulp necrosis (PN)
	Traumatic symptomatic apical periodontitis (TSAP)
	Infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis (ISAP)
	Asymptomatic apical periodontitis (AAP)
Periapical diseases	Periapical abscess without fistula (initial stage) (PA-IS)
	Periapical abscess without fistula (stage in evolution) (PA-SE)
	Periapical abscess without fistula (advanced) (PA-A)
	Periapical abscess with fistula (PAWF)

 ${\bf Table}~{\bf II}$ — Distribution of pulpal and periapical diseases by sex and age

		Pulpal diseases	seases				Peria	Periapical diseases	ases				
	HP n (%)	SP n (%)	AS n (%)	PN n (%)	TSAP n (%)	ISAP n (%)	AAP n (%)	PA-IS n (%)	PA-SE n (%)	PA-A n (%)	PAWF n (%)	Total	P-value
Gender $(n = 1,488)$													
Male	93 (6.3)	93 (6.3) 166 (11.2) 14 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	7 (0.5)	5 (0.3)	7 (0.5) 5 (0.3) 166 (11.2) 26 (1.7) 17 (1.1) 17 (1.1)	26 (1.7)	17 (1.1)	17 (1.1)	10 (0.7)	25 (1.7)	10 (0.7) 25 (1.7) 548 (36.8)	8000
Female	141 (9.5)	301 (20.2) 6 (0.4)		20 (1.3) 7 (0.5)	7 (0.5)	290 (19.5) 38 (2.6) 37 (2.5)	38 (2.6)	37 (2.5)	58 (3.9)	12 (0.8)	32 (2.2)	940 (63.2)	
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Age} \\ (n=1,488) \\ \text{(years old)} \end{array}$													
6–10	6 (0.4)	8 (0.5)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	0.00)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)	3 (0.2)	20 (1.3)	
11–20	53 (3.6)	114 (7.7)	8 (0.5)	3 (0.2)	2 (0.1)	66 (4.4)	7 (0.5)	10 (0.7)	15 (1)	3 (0.2)	9 (0.6)	290 (19.5)	
21–30	55 (3.7)	55 (3.7) 152 (10.2) 2 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	8 (0.5)	5 (0.3)	153(10.3)	15(1)	14 (0.9)	17 (1.1)	6 (0.4)	21 (1.4)	448 (30.1)	
31–40	40 (2.7)	40 (2.7) 107 (7.2) 4 (0.3)	4 (0.3)	5 (0.3)	3 (0.2)	109 (7.3)	15(1)	17 (1.1)	17 (1.1)	5 (0.3)	9 (0.6)	331 (22.2)	< 0.001
41–50	46 (3.1)	53 (3.6)	2 (0.1)	6 (0.4)	2 (0.1)	81 (5.4)	15(1)	7 (0.5)	17 (1.1)	5 (0.3)	11 (0.7)	245 (16.5)	
51–60	25 (1.7)	25 (1.7) 24 (1.6)	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	37 (2.5)	5 (0.3)	6(0.4)	4 (0.3)	2(0.1)	2 (0.1)	108 (7.3)	
> 61	9 (0.6)	9 (0.6)	2 (0.1)	3 (0.2)	0 (0)	10 (0.7)	7 (0.5)	0 (0)	3 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	46 (3.1)	

HP: hyperreactive pulpalgia; SP: symptomatic pulpitis; AS: asymptomatic pulpitis; PN: pulp necrosis; TSAP: traumatic symptomatic apical periodontitis; ISAP: infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis; AAP: asymptomatic apical periodontitis; PA-IS: periapical abscess without fistula (initial stage); PA-SE: periapical abscess without fistula (stage in evolution); PA-A: periapical abscess without fistula (advanced); PAWF: periapical abscess without fistula (stage in evolution).

Table III - Distribution of pulpal and periapical diseases by anatomical location and tooth

		Pulpal diseases	eases				Perial	Periapical diseases	eases				
	HP n (%)	SP n (%)	AS n (%)	PN n (%)	TSAP n (%)	ISAP n (%)	AAP n (%)	PA-IS n (%)	PA-SE n (%)	PA-A n (%)	PAWF n (%)	Total	P-value
Anatomical location (n = 1,488)													
Maxilla	115 (7.7)	219 (14.7)	10 (0.7)	19 (1.3)	7 (0.5)	242 (16.3)	41 (2.8)	31 (2.1)	37 (2.5)	11 (0.7)	35 (2.4)	767 (51.5)	0
Mandible	119 (8)	248 (16.7)	10 (0.7)	8 (0.5)	5 (0.3)	214 (14.4)	$23 \\ (1.5)$	23 (1.5)	38 (2.6)	11 (0.7)	22 (1.5)	721 (48.5)	0.030
Anterior	34 (2.3)	28 (1.9)	3 (0.2)	7 (0.5)	3 (0.2)	85 (5.7)	$\frac{17}{(1.1)}$	20 (1.3)	$21 \\ (1.4)$	11 (0.7)	12 (0.8)	241 (16.2)	50
Posterior	200 (13.4)	439 (29.5)	$\frac{17}{(1.1)}$	20 (1.3)	9 (0.6)	371 (24.9)	47 (3.2)	34 (2.3)	54 (3.6)	11 (0.7)	45 (3)	1,247 (83.8)	0.001
Tooth (n = 1,488)													
Maxillary incisor	13 (0.9)	11 (0.7)	1 (0.1)	5 (0.3)	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{pmatrix}$	43 (2.9)	11 (0.7)	$\frac{16}{(1.1)}$	14 (0.9)	8 (0.5)	10 (0.7)	134 (9)	
Maxillary canine	8 (0.5)	9 (0.6)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (1.5)	5 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	4 (0.3)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	54 (3.6)	
Maxillary premolar	35 (2.4)	68 (4.6)	2 (0.1)	5 (0.3)	3 (0.2)	73 (4.9)	$\frac{13}{(0.9)}$	4 (0.3)	8 (0.5)	1 (0.1)	12 (0.8)	224 (15.1)	
Maxillary molar	59 (4)	59 (4) 131 (8.8)	5 (0.3)	9 (0.6)	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (0.1) \end{pmatrix}$	103 (6.9)	12 (0.8)	10 (0.7)	11 (0.7)	1 (0.1)	12 (0.8)	355 (23.9)	
Mandibular incisor	5 (0.3)	4 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	(0.1)	9 (0.6)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	25 (1.7)	< 0.001
Mandibular canine	8 (0.5)	4 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	10 (0.7) 1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	28 (1.9)	
Mandibular premolar	34 (2.3)	35 (2.4)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	(0.1)	48 (3.2)	6 (0.4)	7 (0.5)	11 (0.7)	1 (0.1)	6 (0.4)	152 (10.2)	
Mandibular molar	72 (4.8)	205 (13.8)	9 (0.6)	4 (0.3)	(0.2)	147 (9.9)	16 (1.1)	13 (0.9)	24 (1.6)	8 (0.5)	15 (1)	516 (34.7)	

HP: hyperreactive pulpalgia; SP: symptomatic pulpitis; AS: asymptomatic pulpitis; PN: pulp necrosis; TSAP: traumatic symptomatic apical periodontitis; ISAP: infectious symptomatic apical periodontitis; AAP: asymptomatic apical periodontitis; PA-IS: periapical abscess without fistula (initial stage); PA-SE: periapical abscess without fistula (stage in evolution); PA-A: periapical abscess without fistula (advanced); PAWF: periapical abscess without fistula (advanced); PAWF: periapical abscess with fistula

Discussion

Prevalence studies offer relevant data on public health associated with clinical and *ex vivo* studies and provide essential data to all science segments [14]. The assessment of the frequency and distribution of diseases in several populations is essential to establish comparison. It favors the monitoring of health status, the observation of trends, planning health services and prevention programs, and serves as a basis for future investigations [9, 23].

The epidemiological analysis developed in the present study was retrospective, based on the revision of dental records of patients with pulpal and periapical diseases and treated in the BAIC of the Dental School of UNIC, between March 2011 to December 2015. Cuiabá is in the Midwest region of Brazil and has approximately 585,000 inhabitants [17]. Economically, it stands out in commerce, services and industry [17]. The BAIC offers low and medium complexity regular and emergency dental treatment. The population attending this service resides in a sector of the city with low social, educational, and economic levels, may present a more significant number of oral health problems and has difficulties in accessing health services [5, 7, 14, 15, 23, 35]. Alexandre *et al.* [3] stated that individuals with low education and income tend to seek preventive services less frequently and have less preventive self-care.

Retrospective studies are relatively easy and economical to conduct, constituting a viable source for establishing hypotheses [7, 19]. However, they present as the main restriction the establishment of temporal nexus, needed for the proof of cause and effect, since both are collected simultaneously [14, 26, 33]. Additionally, the quality of information depends on the accuracy of the initial examination and the correct completion of dental records. Thus, when any examination or information is not recorded during diagnosis or is not registered in the dental form, the study result is compromised and/or limited [7]. Dental records with inconclusive diagnosis and presenting blank fields and/or unspecified data were excluded from the present study.

Numerous classification systems have been proposed for endodontic diagnoses [1, 10]. Most of them have attempted to correlate the histopathological features of pulpal and periapical tissues to the clinical symptoms and signs, which may lead to contradiction and confusion since there is little correlation between them [1, 10, 18]. The diagnosis of pulpal and periapical tissues established in the present study was based on the criteria proposed by

Estrela *et al.* [10], which is based on the evaluation of biophysical characteristics of the tooth and surrounding tissues, symptomatology presented, pulp vitality test and radiographic features (Table I). The adoption of a classification system based on patient history and description of pain, clinical examination, and various diagnostic tests may favor the development of logical reasoning that improve the diagnostic process [10, 18] and may assist clinicians in choosing the less expensive and most appropriate treatment modality for each disease of endodontic origin [1, 16, 24, 26].

Female participants presented with more pulpal and periapical diseases than males (1.8:1). This finding corroborates the results obtained by De Quadros et al. [9], Munerato et al. [24], Pereira and Carvalho [27], Albuquerque et al. [2], and Allareddy et al. [4], that reported female-male ratios of 2.03:1, 1.87:1; 1.9:1, 2.04:1 and 1.8:1, respectively. However, Facchin and Rodrigues [11], Nalliah et al. [25], Nalliah et al. [26], and Shah et al. [33] observed lower female-male ratios: 1.5:1, 1.09:1, 1.03:1 and 1.07:1, respectively, while Travassos et al. [35] saw a higher female-male ratio (3.14:1) and Quiñonez et al. [29] reached a male-female ratio of 1.10:1. Several factors may influence the relationship between gender and dental diseases. such as the social, economic, environmental, and biological characteristics of the sample [8, 15, 27, 32]. The higher prevalence of pulpal and periapical diseases among female participants observed in this analysis may be associated with more female than male patients seeking routine dental services and may not be a real trend [2, 3, 5, 15, 16, 19, 28]. Future studies with longitudinal assessment could better understand the relationship between endodontic diseases and gender.

In the present study, patients with a history of pulpal and periapical diseases ranged from 6 to 78 years old (mean = 31.8 years old). When analyzing the distribution of patients according to age group, it was observed that the highest frequency of diseases was among participants with ages ranging from 21 to 30 years old (30.5%). This is very similar to what was reported by Farrell and Burke [12] and may be related to the low knowledge and the deleterious attitudes and practices of young people regarding their oral health [19, 21, 22]. The lowest prevalence was observed among participants aged 6 to 10 (1.5%) and \geq 61 years old (3.1%). The low number of cases verified in the participants with 6 to 10 years old may be explained by the fact that this age group is commonly referred for treatment in a pediatric dental clinic [34]. With regards to participants aged ≥ 61 years old, the

decrease in pulp and periapical diseases observed may be associated with a decline in the number of natural teeth [30]. The finding of the present study contrasts with the results found out by Facchin and Rodrigues [11], De Quadros et al. [9], Hollanda et al. [16], Quiñonez et al. [29], that registered the most significant number of endodontic treatments and emergency department visits among patients aged 31 to 40, 26 to 49, 46 to 60 and 20 to 44 years old, respectively. Nalliah et al. [26] assessed the frequency of hospital emergency department visits attributed to pulpal and periapical diseases and observed that the average patient age was 32.9 years old. Shah et al. [33] studied the trends of 61,439 hospitalizations primarily attributed to periapical abscess and noticed that the average age was 37 years old. Care is required when comparing the prevalence among the studies due to the absence of standardization in the definitions of age groups studied [7, 23].

The most observed type of disease in the present study was SP (31.4%). This result agrees with those of previous studies [3, 9, 10, 18, 24, 31], in which a larger number of patients presented for treatment due to pulpal conditions. In contrast, Pereira and Carvalho [27], Quiñonez et al. [29], Nalliah et al. [26], and Allareddy et al. [4] observed a high number of teeth with apical periodontitis. It should be emphasized that the type of pulpal and periapical diseases noticed is directly related to the place where the study was undertaken. Thus, more complex cases, such as periapical abscess without fistula (advanced), are usually observed in patients that seek treatment or are referred to hospital-based emergency service and not to a dental office [4, 26, 33]. Additionally, pathologies with no symptoms or visible complications tend to remain untreated [6, 35]. An important aspect is that pulpal and periapical diseases have dental caries as their main etiological factor [2, 9, 10, 11, 18, 27], and therefore they are preventable and treatable conditions [27, 33]. Thus, regular dental appointments are essential, since through early diagnosis and treatment they can avoid progress of caries to the stage of urgent and emergency dental treatment [3, 11, 13, 19, 33].

In the present study, pulpal and periapical pathologies were more frequently diagnosed in maxillary teeth (51.5%) than in mandibular teeth (48.5%). Mandibular and maxillary molars were the most often affected teeth (58.6%). These findings agree with the results of previously published studies [2, 9, 27] and contrast with the results of Hollanda *et al.* [16], that observed a high rate

of endodontic treatment in maxillary premolars. The eruption chronology associated with molars' anatomical characteristics and location may justify their greater involvement [2, 5, 9, 27]. Another possible explanation is related to where the study was developed and the type of etiological factors [27]. Dental school students and general practitioners tend to treat patients with pathologies involving anterior and/or single root teeth, while postgraduate students and specialists tend to perform endodontic procedures on multirooted teeth and with greater anatomical complexity (molars) [2, 9, 27]. In addition, a higher prevalence of root canal treatment in maxillary and anterior teeth in a population may be explained by a higher number of traumatic dental injuries [27], which are known to more frequently affect this group.

The study's motivation was the lack of data on the experience of pulpal and periapical diseases in several geographical regions of Brazil. This study aimed to collect data about several aspects of patients with endodontic needs and treated in a dental school located in the Midwest region of Brazil. This data will assist the understanding of the evolutionary mechanism of endodontic diseases, improvements in treatment, the determination of appropriate therapy, and, consequently, the obtainment of a greater success rate in endodontic treatment. It will also aid in the development of policies for prevention.

Conclusion

There was a higher prevalence of pulpal and periapical diseases in female individuals. The most affected age group was the one between 21 and 30 years old. SP and ISAP were the most diagnosed pathologies. Teeth located in the posterior region of the jaw were the most affected.

References

Abbott PV, Yu C. A clinical classification of the status of the pulp and the root canal system. Aust Dent J. 2007 Mar;52(1 Suppl.):S17-31.

Albuquerque LA, Archer CE, Souza RMS, Travassos RMC, Gomes SGF, Santos RA. Prevalence of pulp and periapical diseases in the Clinic of Specialization in Endodontics at FOP/UPE. Rev Cir Traumatol Buco-Maxilo-Fac. 2011 Jan-Mar;11(1):77-83.

Alexandre GC, Nadanovsky P, Lopes CS, Faerstein E. Prevalência e fatores associados à ocorrência da dor de dente que impediu a realização de tarefas habituais em uma população de funcionários públicos no Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. Cad Saude Publica. 2006 May;22(5):1073-8.

Allareddy V, Nalliah RP, Haque M, Johnson H, Rampa S, Lee MK. Hospital-based emergency department visits with dental conditions among children in the United States: nationwide epidemiological data. Pediatr Dent. 2014 Sep-Oct;36(5):393-9.

Alomari QD, Khalaf ME, Al-Shawaf NM. Relative contribution of restorative treatment to tooth extraction in a teaching institution. J Oral Rehabil. 2013 Jun;40(6):464-71.

Bastos JL, Gigante DP, Peres KG. Toothache prevalence and associated factors: a population based study in southern Brazil. Oral Dis. 2008 May;14(4):320-6.

Borges CM, Cascaes AM, Fischer TK, Boing AF, Peres MA, Peres KG. Dor nos dentes e gengivas e fatores associados em adolescentes brasileiros: análise do inquérito nacional de saúde bucal SB-Brasil 2002-2003. Cad Saude Publica. 2008 Aug;24(8):1825-34.

de Pinho AMS, Campos AC, Ferreira EF, Vargas AM. Toothaches in the daily lives of Brazilian adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2012 Aug;9(8):2587-600.

De Quadros I, Gomes BP, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Souza-Filho FJ. Evaluation of endodontic treatments performed by students in a Brazilian dental school. J Dent Educ. 2005 Oct;69(10):1161-70.

Estrela C, Guedes OA, Silva JA, Leles CR, Estrela CRA, Pécora JD. Diagnostic and clinical factors associated with pulpal and periapical pain. Braz Dent J. 2011;22(4):306-11.

Facchin EVF, Rodrigues GU. Correlação entre o diagnóstico do problema endodôntico e sua etiologia. Rev Fac Odontol. 2004 Jul;45(1):34-47.

Farrell TH, Burke FJ. Root canal treatment in the General Dental Service 1948-1987. Br Dent J. 1989 Mar;166(6):203-8.

Ferrera PC, Busino LJ, Snyder HS. Uncommon complications of odontogenic infections. Am J Emerg Med. 1996 May:14(3):317-22.

Freire MC, Leles CR, Sardinha LM, Paludetto Junior M, Malta DC, Peres MA. Dor dentária e fatores associados em adolescentes brasileiros: a Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar (PeNSE), Brasil, 2009. Cad Saude Publica. 2012;28(Suppl.):s133-45.

Gomes AS, Abegg C. O impacto odontológico no desempenho diário dos trabalhadores do departamento municipal de limpeza urbana de Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. Cad Saude Publica. 2007 Jul;23(7):1707-14.

Hollanda ACB, Alencar AHG, Estrela CRA, Bueno MR, Estrela C. Prevalence of endodontically treated teeth in a Brazilian adult population. Braz Dent J. 2008;19(4):313-7.

Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Demographic Census. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2013.

Iqbal M, Kim S, Yoon F. An investigation into differential diagnosis of pulp and periapical pain: a PennEndo database study. J Endod. 2007 May;33(5):548-51.

Kuhnen M, Peres MA, Masiero AV, Peres KG. Toothache and associated factors in Brazilian adults: a cross-sectional population-based study. BMC Oral Health. 2009 Feb;9:7.

Lacerda JT, Simionato EM, Peres KG, Peres MA, Traebert J, Marcenes W. Dental pain as the reason for visiting a dentist in a Brazilian adult population. Rev Saúde Pública. 2004 Jun;38(3):453-8.

Levin L, Shenkman A. The relationship between dental caries status and oral health attitudes and behavior in young Israeli adults. J Dent Educ. 2004 Nov;68(11):1185-91.

Martell KM, Boyd LD, Giblin-Scanlon LJ, Vineyard J. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of young adults regarding the impact of electronic cigarette use on oral health. J Am Dent Assoc. 2020 Dec;151(12):903-11.

Miotto MH, Barcellos LA, Lopes ZV. Dor de dente como preditor de absenteísmo em trabalhadores de uma indústria de sucos da Região Sudeste do Brasil. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. 2013 Nov;18(11):3183-90.

Munerato MC, Fiaminghi DL, Petry PC. Urgências em odontologia: um estudo retrospectivo. Rev Fac Odonto. 2005 Jul;46(1):90-5.

Nalliah RP, Allareddy V, Elangovan S, Karimbux N, Allareddy V. Hospital based emergency department visits attributed to dental caries in the United States in 2006. J Evid Base Dent Pract. 2010 Dec;10(4):212-22.

Nalliah RP, Allareddy V, Elangovan S, Karimbux N, Lee MK, Gajendrareddy P, et al. Hospital emergency department visits attributed to pulpal and periapical disease in the United States in 2006. J Endod. 2011 Jan;37(1):6-9.

Pereira CV, Carvalho JC. Prevalência e eficácia dos tratamentos endodônticos realizados no Centro Universitário de Lavras, MG: uma análise etiológica e radiográfica. RFO UPF. 2008 Sept-Dec;13(3):36-41.

Peres MA, Iser BP, Peres KG, Malta DC, Antunes JL. Desigualdades contextuais e individuais da prevalência de dor dentária em adultos e idosos no Brasil. Cad Saude Publica. 2012;28(Suppl.):s114-23.

Quiñonez C, Gibson D, Jokovic A, Locker D. Emergency department visits for dental care of nontraumatic origin. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2009 Aug;37(4):366-71.

Ravaghi V, Quiñonez C, Allison PJ. Oral pain and its covariates: findings of a Canadian population-based study. J Can Dent Assoc. 2013;79:d3.

Saad AY, Clem WH. An evaluation of etiologic factors in 382 patients treated in postgraduate endodontic program. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1988 Jan;65(1):91-3.

Santiago BM, Valença AM, Vettore MV. Social capital and dental pain in Brazilian northeast: a multilevel cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2013 Jan;13:2.

Shah A, Leong KK, Lee MK, Allareddy V. Outcomes of hospitalizations attributed to periapical abscess from 2000 to 2008: a longitudinal trend analysis. J Endod. 2013 Sept;39(9):1104-10.

Shqair AQ, Gomes GB, Oliveira A, Goettems ML, Romano AR, Schardozim LR, et al. Dental emergencies in a university pediatric dentistry clinic: a retrospective study. Braz Oral Res. 2012 Jan-Feb;26(1):50-6.

Travassos RM, Caldas AF, Albuquerque DS. Cohort study of endodontic therapy success. Braz Dent J. 2003;14(2):109-13.